CHESTERFIELD COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT 401 West Boulevard Chesterfield, South Carolina 29709 PK-12 GRADES 7.886 Students ENROLLMENT John E. Williams, PhD 843-623-2175 SUPERINTENDENT Jerry D. Holley 843-334-8420 BOARD CHAIR FISCAL AUTHORITY District Board/Legislative Delegation THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2004 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 11 9 1 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This district met 26 out of 29 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

| | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 2001 | Average | Average | N/A |
| 2002 | Average | Average | N/A |
| 2003 | Average | Below Average | No |
| 2004 | Good | Average | No |

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTNG IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

79.8%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our District

Districts with Students like Ours



Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded

expectations

Proficient Basic

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic [

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

| | | Our District | t | Districts with Students like Ours | | | |
|----------------------|------|---------------------|------|-----------------------------------|------|------|--|
| Percent | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | |
| Passed both subtests | 73.5 | N/A | N/A | 73.5 | N/A | N/A | |
| Passed 1 subtest | 11.5 | N/A | N/A | 14.0 | N/A | N/A | |
| Passed no subtests | 15.0 | N/A | N/A | 12.5 | N/A | N/A | |

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP*

| Percent of | Our District | Districts with |
|---|--------------|-------------------|
| | | Students like Our |
| Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions* | 10.2 | 13.0 |
| Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement | 10.2 | 13.1 |
| Seniors who met the grade point average | 53.7 | 47.3 |
| *! Ising only the CAT/ACT and grade point system requirements | | |

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

| PACT PERFORMANCE | | | ш, | ш, | ш, | ш, | ш, |
|--|--|---------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Enrollment 1st | ه ا | % Below Basic | } / | % Proficient | % Advanced | % Proficient and |
| | # J | " Tested | , ₈ | % Basic | | j / g | % Proficient ar |
| | | 1 / 1/9 | / § | / å | \{\bar{q}\} | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | / g |
| | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | ·/ ~~ | / % | / `` | / % | / % | 1 % |
| | | | | | | | |
| All Otrada ata | | glish/Lano | | | 05.4 | 2.0 | 00.0 |
| All Students | 3,774 | 99.4 | 28.8 | 42.9 | 25.1 | 3.2 | 28.3 |
| Gender | 4.005 | 00.0 | ۵5.5 | 44.4 | 00.0 | 0.0 | 00.4 |
| Male Female | 1,905 | 99.3 99.5 | 35.5 22.0 | 41.4 44.4 | 20.8 29.5 | 2.2 4.1 | 23.1 33.6 |
| | 1,869 | 99.5 | 22.0 | 44.4 | 29.5 | 4.1 | 33.0 |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | 1 0 004 | 00.0 | 19.4 | 42.3 | 33.5 | 4.0 | 38.2 |
| White | 2,031 | 99.6 | | 42.3 | | 4.8 | |
| African-American | 1,641 | 99.3 | 40.0 | | 15.1 | 1.1 | 16.2 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 11 79 | 100.0 | 0.0 43.9 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 15.2 |
| Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan | 11 | 97.5 100.0 | 20.0 | 40.9 40.0 | 13.6 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| American Indian/Alaskan Disability Status | 11 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| Not Disabled | 3,125 | 99.6 | 23.6 | 44.2 | 28.6 | 3.6 | 32.2 |
| Not Disabled Disabled | 649 | 99.6 | 53.6 | 37.0 | 28.6 8.6 | 0.8 | 9.4 |
| Migrant Status | 049 | 30.0 | 55.0 | 31.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 |
| Migrant Status | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 3,774 | 99.4 | 28.8 | 42.9 | 25.1 | 3.2 | 28.3 |
| English Proficiency | 3,114 | 99.4 | 20.0 | 42.9 | 20.1 | 3.2 | 20.3 |
| Limited English Proficient | 51 | 100.0 | 63.4 | 31.7 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 4.9 |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 3,723 | 99.4 | 28.4 | 43.1 | 25.4 | 3.2 | 28.6 |
| Socio-Economic Status | 3,723 | 33.4 | 20.4 | 43.1 | 23.4 | 3.2 | 20.0 |
| Subsidized meals | 2,421 | 99.3 | 36.9 | 44.1 | 17.5 | 1.6 | 19.1 |
| Full-pay meals | 1,351 | 99.7 | 14.6 | 40.9 | 38.6 | 5.9 | 44.5 |
| uii-pay meais | 1 1,551 | | • | | 30.0 | 0.0 | 77.0 |
| | 0.774 | | Mathemat | | 47.0 | 0.5 | 00.5 |
| All Students | 3,774 | 99.6 | 27.9 | 45.7 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 26.5 |
| Gender | 4.005 | 00.5 | 00.0 | 44.0 | 45.0 | 0.4 | 04.0 |
| Male | 1,905 | 99.5 | 30.3 | 44.9 | 15.6 | 9.1 | 24.8 |
| Female | 1,869 | 99.7 | 25.4 | 46.5 | 18.4 | 9.8 | 28.2 |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | 0.004 | 00.7 | 40.0 | 40.4 | 00.0 | 45.0 | 07.0 |
| White | 2,031 | 99.7 | 19.0 | 43.4 | 22.3 | 15.3 | 37.6 |
| African-American | 1,641 | 99.5 | 38.4 | 49.1 | 10.4 | 2.1 | 12.5 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 11 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 80.0 |
| Hispanic | 79 | 98.7 | 44.1 | 39.7 | 13.2 | 2.9 | 16.2 |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 11 | 100.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 |
| Disability Status | 2.405 | 00.0 | 20.0 | 40.5 | 10.7 | 14.0 | 20.0 |
| Not Disabled | 3,125 | 99.8 | 22.6 | 46.5 | 19.7 | 11.2 | 30.9 |
| Disabled | 649 | 98.5 | 53.4 | 41.6 | 3.9 | 1.1 | 5.0 |
| Migrant Status | 0 | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A |
| Migrant | 3.774 | N/A 99.6 | N/A 27.9 | N/A 45.7 | N/A | N/A 9.5 | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 3,774 | 99.0 | 21.9 | 40.7 | 17.0 | 9.5 | 26.5 |
| English Proficiency Limited English Proficient | E4 | 98.0 | 56.1 | 26.8 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 17.1 |
| • | 2 722 | | | | | 9.6 | 26.6 |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 3,723 | 99.6 | 27.5 | 45.9 | 17.0 | 9.0 | ∠0.0 |
| Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals | 2.424 | 99.6 | 34.7 | 49.0 | 12.4 | 3.9 | 16.2 |
| | 2,421 | 99.6 | 15.7 | 49.0 39.8 | 25.3 | 19.3 | 44.6 |
| Full-pay meals | 1,351 | 1 99.6 | 15./ | J 39.8 | 25.3 | 19.3 | 44.6 |

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

| | , | | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|----------|---------------|---------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| PACT PERFO | Enrollment 1st Z | % Tested | % Below Basic | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | % Proficient and Advanced |
| | | | sh/Langu | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 645 | 99.5 | 19.7 | 39.8 | 34.4 | 6.1 | 40.5 |
| Grade 4 | 667 | 99.4 | 27.9 | 44.9 | 25.5 | 1.8 | 27.2 |
| Grade 5 | 663 | 99.2 | 35.4 | 49.9 | 14.0 | 0.6 | 14.7 |
| Grade 6 | 603 | 99.3 | 39.1 | 42.1 | 17.0 | 1.8 | 18.8 |
| Grade 7 | 605 | 99.5 | 30.7 | 49.1 | 19.1 | 1.1 | 20.2 |
| Grade 8 | 653 | 99.7 | 36.3 | 45.0 | 17.7 | 1.0 | 18.7 |
| Grade 3 | 582 | 99.3 | 19.8 | 30.9 | 39.4 | 9.9 | 49.3 |
| Grade 4 | 642 | 99.4 | 23.0 | 46.6 | 28.7 | 1.8 | 30.5 |
| Grade 5 | 687 | 99.7 | 27.3 | 50.2 | 21.6 | 0.9 | 22.5 |
| Grade 6 | 650 | 100.0 | 39.0 | 38.6 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 22.4 |
| Grade 7 | 617 | 98.9 | 34.1 | 47.9 | 16.5 | 1.5 | 18.0 |
| Grade 8 | 602 | 99.2 | 29.5 | 50.0 | 17.6 | 2.9 | 20.5 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | Mathemat | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 645 | 99.8 | 23.6 | 52.1 | 17.8 | 6.6 | 24.4 |
| Grade 4 | 667 | 99.9 | 22.1 | 51.0 | 15.7 | 11.3 | 27.0 |
| Grade 5 | 663 | 99.8 | 31.6 | 48.4 | 14.4 | 5.5 | 19.9 |
| Grade 6 | 603 | 99.0 | 27.6 | 42.5 | 19.2 | 10.7 | 29.9 |
| Grade 7 | 605 | 100.0 | 28.0 | 44.8 | 16.8 | 10.5 | 27.3 |
| Grade 8 | 653 | 99.8 | 32.0 | 51.1 | 12.1 | 4.8 | 16.9 |
| Grade 3 | 582 | 99.5 | 25.2 | 55.2 | 14.1 | 5.5 | 19.6 |
| Grade 4 | 642 | 100.0 | 24.1 | 47.7 | 16.8 | 11.4 | 28.2 |
| Grade 5 | 687 | 99.7 | 29.3 | 47.6 | 15.3 | 7.9 | 23.1 |

22.7

17.1

11.6

12.3

9.1

8.0

35.0

26.2

19.6

SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

100.0

98.9

99.3

24.8

35.2

32.2

40.2

38.5

48.2

These schools will be reported in a separate document.

650

617

602

Grade 6

Grade 7

Grade 8

| 553 278 275 314 229 3 6 N/A | 97.5 97.1 97.8 98.7 95.6 I/S | n/Langu 18.4 25.3 11.5 12.0 27.8 I/S | | 30.5 28.4 32.7 | 24.2 20.3 28.1 | 54.7 48.7 60.8 |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 553 278 275 314 229 3 6 | 97.5 97.1 97.8 98.7 95.6 I/S | h/Langu 18.4 25.3 11.5 12.0 27.8 | 26.9 26.1 27.7 23.3 | 30.5 28.4 32.7 | 20.3 | 54.7 |
| 278 275 314 229 3 6 | 97.1 97.8 98.7 95.6 I/S | 25.3 11.5 12.0 27.8 | 26.1 27.7 23.3 | 28.4 32.7 | 20.3 | 48.7 |
| 275 314 229 3 6 | 97.8 98.7 95.6 I/S | 11.5 12.0 27.8 | 27.7 | 32.7 | | |
| 275 314 229 3 6 | 97.8 98.7 95.6 I/S | 11.5 12.0 27.8 | 27.7 | 32.7 | | |
| 314 229 3 6 | 98.7 95.6 I/S | 12.0 27.8 | 23.3 | | 28.1 | ୍ନ ନମ ହ |
| 229 3 6 | 95.6 I/S I/S | 27.8 | | 20.7 | | 00.0 |
| 229 3 6 | 95.6 I/S I/S | 27.8 | | | | |
| 3 | I/S I/S | | 311 | 30.7 | 34.0 | 64.7 |
| 6 | I/S | I/S | | 30.7 | 10.4 | 41.0 |
| | | | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| N/A | | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | |
| 441 | 98.9 | 8.6 | 26.0 | 35.7 | 29.8 | 65.5 |
| 112 | 92.0 | 59.4 | 30.7 | 8.9 | 1.0 | 9.9 |
| | | | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | | N/A | | N/A | N/A |
| 553 | 97.5 | 18.4 | 26.9 | 25.6 | 24.2 | 54.7 |
| | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | I/S |
| 552 | 97.5 | 18.5 | 26.7 | 30.6 | 24.2 | 54.8 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 39.8 |
| 283 | 98.2 | 9.4 | 21.7 | 33.0 | 36.0 | 68.9 |
| | N | lathema | tics | | | |
| 553 | | | | 25.6 | 20.8 | 46.3 |
| | 0.10 | | 0 110 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 |
| 278 | 96.8 | 26.2 | 26.9 | 27.3 | 19.6 | 46.9 |
| | | | | | | 45.8 |
| 2.10 | 01.0 | 10.2 | 00.0 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 10.0 |
| 314 | 98.4 | 14.0 | 27.1 | 29.4 | 29.4 | 58.9 |
| | | | | | | 27.4 |
| | | | - | | | I/S |
| | | | | | | I/S |
| - | | | | | | N/A |
| . 4/1 | 14//1 | 14/11 | 14/11 | 14// | 14/11 | . 1// (|
| 441 | 98.9 | 12 4 | 32 6 | 29.5 | 25.5 | 55.0 |
| | | | | | | 10.0 |
| | 0 1.1 | 55.0 | - 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 10.0 |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | 46.3 |
| 300 | 01.0 | 44.1 | 01.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 |
| 1 | 1/9 | 1/9 | 1/9 | 1/9 | 1/9 | I/S |
| | | | | | | 46.2 |
| JJZ | ال. ال | 22.1 | 01.0 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 40.2 |
| 270 | 06.7 | 3/1.2 | 36.2 | 20.5 | 0.1 | 20 F |
| | | | | | | 29.5 62.4 |
| | | N/A N/A 553 97.5 1 I/S 552 97.5 270 96.7 283 98.2 553 97.3 278 96.8 275 97.8 314 98.4 229 95.6 3 I/S 6 I/S N/A N/A 441 98.9 112 91.1 N/A N/A 553 97.3 1 I/S 552 97.3 270 96.7 | N/A N/A N/A N/A 553 97.5 18.4 1 | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 553 97.5 18.4 26.9 1 | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 553 97.5 18.4 26.9 25.6 1 | N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 553 97.5 18.4 26.9 25.6 24.2 1 |

| PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004 | | • | Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships* | | Graduation Rate | | | |
| n | % | n | % | n | % | Met State Objective | | |
| 430 | 95.1% | 443 | 10.2% | 549 | 72.9% | N/A | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 196 | 95.9% | 212 | 10.8% | 282 | 66.7% | | | |
| 231 | 94.8% | 231 | 9.5% | 267 | 79.4% | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 248 | 96.0% | 246 | 15.9% | 297 | 78.1% | | | |
| 170 | 94.1% | 190 | 2.1% | 240 | 67.1% | | | |
| 3 | I/S | 0 | N/A | 3 | I/S | | | |
| 5 | 100.0% | 5 | 40.0% | 7 | 71.4% | | | |
| 1 | I/S | 0 | N/A | 2 | I/S | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 386 | 97.2% | 384 | 11.5% | 467 | 80.1% | | | |
| 41 | 78.0% | 59 | 1.7% | 82 | 31.7% | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| 427 | 95.3% | 443 | 10.2% | N/A | N/A | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| N/A | N/A | 0 | N/A | 2 | I/S | | | |
| 427 | 95.3% | 443 | 10.2% | 547 | 73.1% | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 171 | 93.0% | 197 | 3.0% | 259 | 61.4% | | | |
| 256 | 96.9% | 246 | 15.9% | 290 | 83.1% | | | |
| | Exit Exa Rate by 3 n 430 196 231 248 170 3 5 1 1 386 41 N/A 427 N/A 427 | Rate by Spring 2004 Rate by S | Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004 Eligibilit Scholar n % n 430 95.1% 443 196 95.9% 212 231 94.8% 231 248 96.0% 246 170 94.1% 190 3 I/S 0 5 100.0% 5 1 I/S 0 386 97.2% 384 41 78.0% 59 N/A N/A 0 427 95.3% 443 N/A N/A 0 427 95.3% 443 171 93.0% 197 | Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004 Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships* n % n % 430 95.1% 443 10.2% 196 95.9% 212 10.8% 231 94.8% 231 9.5% 248 96.0% 246 15.9% 170 94.1% 190 2.1% 3 I/S 0 N/A 5 100.0% 5 40.0% 1 I/S 0 N/A 41 78.0% 59 1.7% N/A 427 95.3% 443 10.2% N/A 427 95.3% 443 10.2% 171 93.0% 197 3.0% | Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004 Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships* G n % n % 196 95.9% 212 10.8% 282 231 94.8% 231 9.5% 267 248 96.0% 246 15.9% 297 170 94.1% 190 2.1% 240 3 I/S 0 N/A 3 5 100.0% 5 40.0% 7 1 I/S 0 N/A 2 386 97.2% 384 11.5% 467 41 78.0% 59 1.7% 82 N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A 443 10.2% N/A N/A N/A 0 N/A 2 427 95.3% 443 10.2% 547 171 93.0% 197 3.0% 259 | Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004 Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships* Graduation n % n % 430 95.1% 443 10.2% 549 72.9% 196 95.9% 212 10.8% 282 66.7% 231 94.8% 231 9.5% 267 79.4% 248 96.0% 246 15.9% 297 78.1% 170 94.1% 190 2.1% 240 67.1% 3 I/S 0 N/A 3 I/S 5 100.0% 5 40.0% 7 71.4% 1 I/S 0 N/A 2 I/S 386 97.2% 384 11.5% 467 80.1% 41 78.0% 59 1.7% 82 31.7% N/A N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A 427 95.3% 443 10.2% 547 73.1% 171 </td | | |

^{*} Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

| EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Our District | Districts with Students like Ours | | | | | |
| Percent | 95.1% | 94.3% | | | | | |
| GRADUATION RATE | | | | | | | |
| | Our District | Districts with Students like Ours | | | | | |
| Number of Students | 549 | 370 | | | | | |
| Number of Diplomas | 400 | 278 | | | | | |

72.9%

Total

75.5%

2003-04 College Admissions Tests

Math

2003 2004 2003 2004 2003 2004 District 488 478 490 487 978 965

Verbal

Rate

SAT

| District | 700 | 710 | 700 | 701 | 010 | 000 | | | | | |
|----------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|--|
| State | 493 | 491 | 496 | 495 | 989 | 986 | | | | | |
| Nation | 507 | 508 | 519 | 518 | 1026 | 1026 | | | | | |
| ACT | En | glish | М | ath | Rea | ading | Sci | ence | T | otal | |
| | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | |
| District | 18.1 | 17.1 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 19.2 | 17.9 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 18.8 | 18.0 | |
| State | 18.7 | 18.8 | 19.0 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 19.3 | |
| Nation | 20.3 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.8 | 20.9 | |

| DISTRICT PROFILE | Our District | Change from Last Year | Districts with Students Like Ours | Median District |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Students (n= 7,886) | | | | |
| First graders who attended full-day kindergarten | 95.9% | N/C | 98.3% | 97.2% |
| Retention rate | 5.4% | Up from 4.5% | 5.4% | 5.3% |
| Attendance rate | 95.3% | Up from 93.9% | 96.1% | 96.2% |
| Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level | 10.4% | ' | 5.8% | 5.8% |
| Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level | 9.2% | | 5.2% | 5.1% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 9.3% | Down from 10.0% | 10.1% | 11.6% |
| On academic plans | N/AV | N/AV | N/A | N/AV |
| On academic probation | N/AV | N/AV | N/A | N/AV |
| With disabilities other than speech | 14.0% | Up from 13.7% | 12.2% | 10.9% |
| Older than usual for grade | 4.2% | Up from 3.6% | 5.1% | 5.0% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 0.6% | Down from 2.7% | 0.8% | 1.1% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 6.9% | Down from 8.9% | 10.4% | 9.9% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV | N/AV |
| Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs | 63 | Down from 316 | 132 | 157 |
| Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs | 39 | Down from 57 | 39 | 39 |
| Annual dropout rate | 3.9% | Down from 4.2% | 2.9% | 2.9% |
| eachers (n= 540) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 55.2% | Up from 50.8% | 49.6% | 50.0% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 88.1% | Up from 85.6% | 86.5% | 84.6% |
| Highly qualified teachers** | 93.8% | N/A | 92.5% | 92.5% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 5.9% | | 4.6% | 4.4% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 93.9% | Up from 92.6% | 88.8% | 89.9% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 94.7% | Down from 95.4% | 94.7% | 94.7% |
| Average teacher salary | \$40.343 | Up 1.6% | \$39.451 | \$40.566 |
| Vacancies for more than nine weeks | 1.1% | N/C | 0.5% | 0.3% |
| Prof. development days/teacher | 12.2 days | Up from 11.0 days | 12.2 days | 12.0 days |
| District | .z.z dayo | op nom i no dayo | iziz dayo | 1210 days |
| Superintendent's years at district | 5.0 | Up from 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 21.4 to 1 | Up from 19.3 to 1 | 20.4 to 1 | 21.0 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 88.4% | Up from 88.0% | 89.7% | 89.5% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | \$6,921 | Down 1.0% | \$7,217 | \$7,217 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | 56.6% | Down from 57.2% | 55.7% | 55.6% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | Up from Fair | Excellent | Excellen |
| Parents attending conferences | 99.0% | Up from 89.8% | 95.8% | 97.3% |
| Number of schools | 16 | Up from 15 | 10 | 3 |
| Number of magnet schools | 0 | No change | 0 | C |
| Number of charter schools | 0 | No change | 0 | 0 |
| Number of alternative schools | 0 | No change | 0 | C |
| Portable classrooms | 3.3% | Down from 8.7% | 3.3% | 4.3% |
| Average age in years of school facilities | 18 | Up from 17 | 25 | 26 |
| Number of schools with SACS accreditation | 16 | Up from 15 | 10 | 8 |
| Average administrator salary Prior year audited financial data are reported. | \$67,376 | | \$64,578 | \$67,300 |

| | Our District | State |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|
| Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools** | N/A | 92.0% |
| Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools** | 98.0% | 91.1% |
| | State Objective | Met State Objective |
| Highly qualified teachers** | 65.0% | Yes |
| Student attendance rate | 95.3% | Yes |

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

Board Membership 9 trustees elected to single-member seats

Fiscal Authority District Board/Legislative Delegation

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 20.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation 100.0%

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

"Excellence in Academics, Arts, and Athletics" has been our motto since 1999. We have truly been excellent in all areas during the 2003-2004 school year. Our accomplishments include the following:

We have been extraordinary in academics. Edwards Elementary School is a South Carolina Blue Ribbon School nominee. We improved our SAT scores by 22 points (116 point increase in the past five years). A Cheraw High School senior scored a perfect 1600 on the SAT. The Florence Morning News recognized McBee High and Chesterfield High as two of the top high schools in the Pee Dee. District-wide, 26 students were named as Junior Scholars. Ruby Elementary and Long Middle Schools were named as recipients of the Palmetto Silver Award. Edwards Elementary and McBee High Schools were named as recipients of the Palmetto Silver Award. Six new National Board Certified teachers brings our total to 45, and 90 para-professionals in the district met the "highly qualified" requirement in No Child In the area of the Arts, the District was awarded funding and Left Behind. recognition as one of nine Arts in Basic Curriculum Districts from the South Carolina Arts Commission, the South Carolina Department of Education, and the College of Visual and Performing Arts at Winthrop University. Athletics in Chesterfield County School District has also excelled this year. Central High School football team won the Class AA State Championship, Chesterfield High School wrestling team won the Class AA State Championship and Cheraw High School won the State AA Baseball Championship. In addition to these state titles, we won many individual awards in various other sports. "Excellence in Academics, Arts, and Athletics" is evident in Chesterfield County during the 2003-2004 school year.

I would like to say "thank you" to all the teachers, principals, district staff members, other district employees, as well as citizens for working cooperatively to provide a positive environment which enables all students in Chesterfield County to receive a quality education. I challenge you to build on these successes in the future.

H. Kenneth Dinkins, Ed.D. Superintendent